# An Example OWL Ontology

ENC 2004, September 2004

## Peter F. Patel-Schneider

## A small OWL ontology

- to demonstrate the syntaxes of OWL
- to demonstrate how to use OWL
- to demonstrate the utility of OWL
- to demonstrate reasoning in OWL

## Three Variants of OWL

- OWL Full
  - an extension of RDF
  - allows for classes as instances, modification of RDF and OWL vocabularies
- OWL DL
  - the part of OWL Full that fits in the Description Logic framework
  - known to have decidable reasoning
- OWL Lite
  - a subset of OWL DL
  - easier for frame-based tools to transition to
  - easier reasoning

2

## **Two Syntaxes for OWL**

- RDF/XML documents
  - http://www.cs.man/ac/uk/ñorrocks/ISWC2003/Tutorial/people+pets.owl.rdf
  - so that OWL is part of the Semantic Web
  - so that OWL can be an extension of RDF
  - so that RDF applications can parse OWL
- "abstract" syntax
  - http://www.cs.man/ac/uk/ñorrocks/ISWC2003/Tutorial/people+pets.abs
  - easier to read and write manually
  - corresponds more closely to Description Logics and Frames

#### Living in the Semantic Web and World Wide Web

- names in OWL are RDF URI references
  - e.g., http://cohse.semanticweb.org/ontologies/people#pet
  - often (informally) abbreviated via XML qualified names
  - e.g., pp:pet
- data items belong to XML Schema datatypes
  - e.g., XML Schema integers and strings
  - generally written in RDF/XML form
  - e.g., "7"8sd:integer, "Susan"8sd:string

#### How is OWL Used

- 1. build an ontology
  - create the ontology
  - name classes and provide information about them
  - name properties and provide information about them
  - (would be slightly inaccurate to say "define" here)
- 2. state facts about a domain
  - provide information about individuals
- 3. reason about ontologies and facts
  - determine consequences of what was built and stated

5

## **Creating Ontologies**

- · information in OWL is generally in an ontology
  - ontology—"a branch of metaphysics concerned with the nature and relations of being" [Merriam-Webster Dictionary]
  - an ontology determines what is of interest in a domain and how information about it is structured
  - an OWL ontology is just a collection of information, generally mostly information about classes and properties
- Ontology([name] ...)
- ontologies can include (import) information from other ontologies
  - Ontology([name] owl:imports(<name>) ...)

6

- Classes
- What is a Class?
  - e.g., person, pet, old
  - a collection of individuals (object, things,  $\ldots)$
  - a way of describing part of the world
  - an object in the world (OWL Full)

## **Example Classes**

Class(pp:animal partial restriction(pp:eats someValuesFrom(owl:Thing))) Class(pp:person partial pp:animal) Class(pp:man complete intersectionOf(pp:person pp:male pp:adult)) Class(pp:animal+lover complete intersectionOf(pp:person restriction(pp:has\_pet minCardinality(3))))

8

## **Example Classes**

9

#### **Properties**

- What is a Property?
  - e.g., has\_father, has\_pet, service\_number
  - a collection of relationships between individuals (and data)
  - a way of describing a kind of relationship between individuals
  - an object in the world (OWL Full)

#### **Example Properties**

ObjectProperty(pp:is\_pet\_of inverseOf(pp:has\_pet))
DataProperty(pp:service\_number range(xsd:integer))

SubPropertyOf(pp:has\_pet pp:likes)

#### Individuals

- · objects in the world
- · belong to classes
- are related to other objects and to data values via properties

12

#### **Example Individuals**

Individual(pp:Tom type(owl:Thing))
Individual(pp:Dewey type(pp:duck))
Individual(pp:Rex type(pp:dog) value(pp:is\_pet\_of pp:Mick))
Individual(pp:Mick type(pp:male)
 value(pp:reads pp:Daily+Mirror)
 value(pp:drives pp:Q123+ABC))
Individual(pp:The42 type(pp:bus)
 value(pp:service\_number "42"^^xsd:integer))

13

#### The OWL View of Life

OWL is not like a database system

- no requirement that the only properties of an individual are those mentioned in a class it belongs to
- no assumption that everything is known
  - How many pets does Mick have? (Answer: at least one)
- classes and properties can have multiple "definitions"
- statements about individuals need not be together in a document

## Using OWL (Building Ontologies)

- determine how the world (domain) should work
  - determine the classes and properties in the domain
  - determine domains and ranges for properties
  - determine characteristics of classes
  - add individuals and relationships as necessary
    - \* some individuals belong here
  - iterate until "good enough"
  - package all this into an ontology
  - hope that someone else has done most of the work
  - \* just import all that work
- build the OWL ontology
  - ask whether the ontology is consistent
  - ask whether the classes are coherent

#### Using OWL (for a Particular Task)

- populate the world (for a particular task)
  - determine the individuals needed for the task
  - determine the relationships between individuals
  - often this will be easy
    - \* information already in some database, etc.
- write the information in OWL
  - ask whether the information is consistent
  - ask whether other information is entailed

16

#### What Follows in the Example Ontology

Class(pp:old+lady complete intersectionOf(pp:elderly pp:female pp:person)) Class(pp:old+lady partial intersectionOf( restriction(pp:has\_pet allValuesFrom(pp:cat)) restriction(pp:has\_pet someValuesFrom(pp:animal))))

Every old lady must have a pet cat. (Because she must have some pet and all her pets must be cats.)

17

#### What Follows in the Example Ontology

Class(pp:cow partial pp:vegetarian) Class(pp:mad+cow complete intersectionOf(pp:cow restriction(pp:eats someValuesFrom(intersectionOf(pp:brain restriction(pp:part\_of someValuesFrom pp:sheep))))))

There can be no mad cows. (Because cows, as vegetarians, don't eat anything that is a part of an animal.)

## What Follows in the Example Ontology

Minnie must be a person (because pet owners are human) and thus is an old lady. Thus Tom must be a cat (because all pets of old ladies are cats).

## What Follows in the Example Ontology (extended)

Walt must be an animal lover. Note that stating that Walt is a person is redundant.

20

#### What Follows in the Example Ontology

21

#### What Follows in the Example Ontology

Individual(pp:Q123+ABC type(pp:white+thing) type(pp:van))
Individual(pp:Mick type(pp:male)
 value(pp:reads pp:Daily+Mirror)
 value(pp:drives pp:Q123+ABC))

Mick drives a white van, so he must be an adult (because all drivers are adults). As Mick is male, thus he is a white van man, so any paper he reads must be a tabloid, thus the Daily Mirror is a tabloid.

## Can All This Really be Done?

- quite a bit is going on here
- reasoning in OWL is difficult
- next part of tutorial